

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,101	06/30/2003	Michael T. Konczal	P-202	9233	
7	7590 07/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael T. Konczal			GOINS, DAVETTA WOODS		
P.O. Box 863656 Plano, TX 75088			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
riano, IX /3	0000		2632	THE EXTONIBER	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	U			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/609,101	KONCZAL, MICHAEL T.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Davetta W. Goins	2632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (8) Derived for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	lav 2005.	•			
- '=		action is non-final.				
3)□	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1.7.9-12 and 16 is/are pending in the	annlication				
- / 🕰	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) <u>1,9-12 and 16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers	·				
_	•	•				
	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
10)		•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	•	commer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 1 <u>1</u> 9					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		2d			
Ì	and and an	or and common copies not receive				
Attachmen	t(s)		·			
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

•

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tigwell (US Pat. 4,769,629) in view of Rush, III (US Pat. 5,621,922).

In reference to claims 1, 16, Tigwell discloses a) the claimed light emitting means disposed on a rearward portion of the helmet, which is met by a light 10 located on a conventional motorcycle's helmet 12 (col. 2, lines 10-31; Figure 1), b) the claimed at least one primary axis accelerometer responsive to deceleration, which is met by any suitable type of accelerometer may be used to measure deceleration for actuating the light such as a first mercury switch 14 used for detecting deceleration (col. 2, lines 10-31), and c) the claimed power source coupled with the light emitting means and the switching means, which is met by a voltage source 38 (col. 2, lines 50-55). Although Tigwell does not specifically disclose the claimed at least one reference axis accelerometer responsive to deceleration, he does disclose the use of a second

mercury switch 24 that moves in response to the acceleration that will turn off the lamp 36 that is turned on by switch 14 (col. 2, lines 32-58). Rush discloses a sports helmet that includes a plurality of accelerometers 24, 25, 26 that are acted upon impact to the helmet to cause signaling lamps 13 to illuminate. When force is applied (acceleration/deceleration) to the helmet, one of the signaling lamps 13 will be actuated (col. 3, lines 1-63). Since both Tigwell and Rush disclose accelerometers placed within a helmet that are used in combination with lights to give an indication as to detected acceleration/deceleration to the helmet, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of using one or more reference accelerometers, as disclosed by Rush, with the system of Tigwell, to ensure that any deceleration (or acceleration) that applied to the helmet, may be detected to give an indication that may be seen by nearby persons.

4. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tigwell in view of Rush, III (922) in view of DeBeaux (US Pat. 5,416,675).

In reference to claims 9, Tigwell discloses a) the claimed sensor portion adapted for sensing deceleration, which is met by any suitable type of accelerometer may be used to measure deceleration for actuating the light (col. 2, lines 10-31), b) the claimed light emitter portion, which is met by a light 10 located on a conventional motorcycle's helmet 12 (col. 2, lines 10-31; Figure 1). Tigwell does not specifically disclose the claimed logic portion operably coupling the sensor portion and the light-emitting portion for switching the light-emitting portion based upon selected input from the sensor portion. DeBeaux discloses an illuminated helmet including a

plurality of LEDs 50 that are actuated by an electronic control circuit 18 (col. 5, lines 42-59). Although Tigwell does not specifically disclose the claimed at least one reference axis accelerometer responsive to deceleration, he does disclose the use of a second mercury switch 24 that moves in response to the acceleration that will turn off the lamp 36 that is turned on by switch 14 (col. 2, lines 32-58). Rush discloses a sports helmet that includes a plurality of accelerometers 24, 25, 26 that are acted upon impact to the helmet to cause signaling lamps 13 to illuminate. When force is applied (acceleration/deceleration) to the helmet, one of the signaling lamps 13 will be actuated (col. 3, lines 1-63). Since both Tigwell, DeBeaux and Rush disclose helmets including illumination, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of using a plurality of light emitting means controlled by a logic portion as disclosed by DeBeaux, as well as using one or more reference accelerometers, as disclosed by Rush, both with the system of Tigwell, to ensure that any deceleration (or acceleration) that applied to the helmet, may be detected to give an indication that may be seen by nearby persons as well as include a pattern and timing of the lights that can be controlled during operation.

In reference to claims 10-12, Tigwell discloses the claimed circuit is affixed to headwear, which may be a motorcycle helmet or bicycle helmet, which is met by a light 10 located on a conventional motorcycle's helmet 12 (col. 2, lines 10-3.1; Figure 1).

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 9-12 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davetta W. Goins whose telephone number is 571-272-2957. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davetta W. Goins Primary Examiner Art Unit 2632

D.W.G.

July 18, 2005